arXiv:1410.7204v1 [math.CA] 5 Oct 2014

ON THE MAXIMAL OPERATORS OF VILENKIN-FEJÉR MEANS ON HARDY SPACES

GEORGE TEPHNADZE

Abstract. The main aim of this paper is to prove that when $0 < p < 1/2$ the maximal operator $\widetilde{\sigma}_p^* f := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|\sigma_n f|}{(n+1)^{1/p-2}}$ is bounded from the martingale Hardy space H_p to the space L_p, where σ_n is n-th Fejér mean with respect to bounded Vilenkin system.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Vilenkin system, Fejér means, martingale Hardy space.

1. INTRODUCTION

In one-dimensional case the weak type inequality for maximal operator of Fejér means for trigonometric system can be found in Zygmund [21], in Schipp [11] for Walsh system and in Pál, Simon [10] for bounded Vilenkin system. Fujii [4] and Simon [13] verified that the $\sigma^{*,w}$ is bounded from H_1 to L_1, where $\sigma^{*,w}$ denotes the maximal operator of Fejér means of Walsh-Fourier series. Weisz [18] generalized this result and proved the boundedness of $\sigma^{*,w}$ from the martingale Hardy space H_p to the space L_p, for $1/2 < p \leq 1$. Simon [12] gave a counterexample, which shows that boundedness of $\sigma^{*,w}$ does not hold for $0 < p < 1/2$. The counterexample for $\sigma^{*,w}$ when $p = 1/2$ is due to Goginava [7] (see also [3, 14]). In the endpoint case $p = 1/2$ two positive results were showed. Weisz [20] proved that $\sigma^{*,w}$ is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2,\infty}$. Goginava [6] proved that the maximal operator $\widetilde{\sigma}^{*,w}$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^{*,w} f := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|\sigma_n^w f|}{\log^2(n+1)}$$

is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$, where σ_n^w is n-th Fejér means of Walsh-Fourier series. He also proved, that for any nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to [1, \infty)$, satisfying the condition

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \frac{\log^2(n+1)}{\varphi(n)} = +\infty,$$

the maximal operator

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|\sigma_n^w f|}{\varphi(n)}$$

is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$.

For Walsh-Kaczmarz system analogical theorem was proved in [9] and for bounded Vilenkin system in [15].

The main aim of this paper is to prove that when $0 < p < 1/2$ the maximal operator

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_p^* f := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|\sigma_n f|}{(n+1)^{1/p-2}} \tag{1}$$

is bounded from the Hardy space H_p to the space L_p (see Theorem 1), where σ_n is Fejér means of bounded Vilenkin-Fourier series.

We also prove that for any nondecreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to [1, \infty)$, satisfying the condition

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \frac{(n+1)^{1/p-2}}{\varphi(n)} = +\infty, \tag{2}$$

the maximal operator

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|\sigma_n f|}{\varphi(n)}$$

is not bounded from the Hardy space H_p to the space $L_{p,\infty}$ when $0 < p < 1/2$. Actually, we prove a stronger result (see Theorem 2) than the unboundedness of the maximal operator $\widetilde{\sigma}_p^*$ from the Hardy space H_p to the spaces $L_{p,\infty}$. In particular, we prove that under condition (2) there exists a martingale $f \in H_p$ $(0 < p < 1/2)$ such that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left\| \frac{\sigma_n f}{\varphi(n)} \right\|_{L_{p,\infty}} = \infty.$$

2. Definitions and Notations

Let $\mathbb{N}_+$ denote the set of the positive integers, $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\}$.

Let $m := (m_0, ..., m_n, ...)$ denote a sequence of the positive integers not less than 2.

Denote by

$$Z_{m_k} := \{0, 1, ..., m_k - 1\}$$

the additive group of integers modulo m_k.

Define the group G_m, as the complete direct product of the group Z_{m_j}, with the product of the discrete topologies of Z_{m_j} 's.

The direct product μ of the measures

$$\mu_k(\{j\}) := 1/m_k, \qquad (j \in Z_{m_k}),$$

is the Haar measure on G_m, with $\mu(G_m) = 1$.

If $\sup_n m_n < \infty$, then we call G_m a bounded Vilenkin group. If the generating sequence m is not bounded, then G_m is said to be an unbounded Vilenkin group. **In this paper we discuss bounded Vilenkin groups only.**

The elements of G_m represented by sequences

$$x := (x_0, x_1, ..., x_j, ...), \qquad (\ x_k \in Z_{m_k})\,.$$

It is easy to give a base, for the neighborhood of $x \in G_m$:

$$I_0\,(x) := G_m,$$

$$I_n(x) := \{y \in G_m \mid y_0 = x_0, ...,\ y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\},\ (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Denote $I_n := I_n\,(0)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bar{I_n} := G_m \setminus I_n$.

Let

$$e_n := (0, ..., 0, x_n = 1, 0, ...) \in G_m, \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N})\,.$$

Denote

$$I_N^{k,l} := \begin{cases} I_N(0, ..., 0, x_k \neq 0, 0, ..., 0, x_l \neq 0, x_{l+1}\ , ..., x_{N-1}\ , ...), \\ \text{where } x_i\ \in Z_{m_i},\ i \geq l+1,\ \text{for } k < l < N, \\ I_N(0, ..., 0, x_k \neq 0, 0, ..., , x_{N-1}\ = 0,\ x_N\ , ...), \\ \text{where } x_i\ \in Z_{m_i},\ i \geq N,\ \text{for } l = N. \end{cases}$$

and

$$I_N^{k,\alpha,l,\beta} := I_N(0, ..., 0, x_k = \alpha, 0, ..., 0, x_l = \beta, x_{l+1,...,}\ x_{N-1}\ ),\quad k < l < N\ ,$$

where $x_i\ \in Z_{m_i}$, $i \geq l+1$.

It is evident

$$I_N^{k,l} = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{m_k-1} \bigcup_{\beta=1}^{m_l-1} I_N^{k,\alpha,l,\beta} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\bar{I_N} = \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{N-2} \bigcup_{l=k+1}^{N-1} I_N^{k,l}\right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{N-1} I_N^{k,N}\right). \tag{4}$$

If we define the so-called generalized number system, based on m in the following way :

$$M_0 := 1, \qquad M_{k+1} := m_k M_k\ , \qquad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

then every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be uniquely expressed as $n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_j M_j$, where $n_j \in Z_{m_j}$ $(j \in \mathbb{N})$ and only a finite number of n_j's differ from zero. Let $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N},\ n_j \neq 0\}$.

It is easy to show that

$$\sum_{A=0}^{l} M_A \leq c M_l. \tag{5}$$

Denote by $L_1\,(G_m)$ the usual (one dimensional) Lebesque space.

Next, we introduce on G_m an ortonormal system which is called the Vilenkin system.

At first define the complex valued function $r_k(x) : G_m \to C$, the generalized Rademacher functions as

$$r_k(x) := \exp(2\pi i x_k/m_k), \qquad \left(i^2 = -1,\ x \in G_m,\ k \in \mathbb{N}\right).$$

Now define the Vilenkin system $\psi := (\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ on G_m as:

$$\psi_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k^{n_k}(x), \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Specifically, we call this system the Walsh one if $m \equiv 2$.

The Vilenkin system is ortonormal and complete in $L_2(G_m)$ [1, 16].

Now we introduce analogues of the usual definitions in Fourier-analysis.

If $f \in L_1(G_m)$ we can establish the the Fourier coefficients, the partial sums of the Fourier series, the Fejér means, the Dirichlet and Fejér kernels with respect to the Vilenkin system ψ in the usual manner:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f}(n) &:= \int_{G_m} f\overline{\psi}_n d\mu, \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}),\\
S_n f &:= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \widehat{f}(k)\psi_k, \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}_+,\ S_0 f := 0),\\
\sigma_n f &:= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k f, \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}_+),\\
D_n &:= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k, \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}_+),\\
K_n &:= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_k, \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}_+).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$D_{M_n}(x) = \begin{cases} M_n, & \text{if} \quad x \in I_n,\\ 0, & \text{if} \quad x \notin I_n. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

It is well-known that

$$\sup_n \int_{G_m} |K_n(x)|\, d\mu(x) \le c < \infty, \tag{7}$$

and

$$n\,|K_n(x)| \le c\sum_{A=0}^{|n|} M_A\,|K_{M_A}(x)|. \tag{8}$$

The norm (or quasinorm) of the space $L_p(G_m)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_{G_m} |f(x)|^p\, d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p}, \qquad (0 < p < \infty).$$

The space $L_{p,\infty}(G_m)$ consists of all measurable functions f, for which

$$\left\| f\right\| _{L_{p,\infty }(G_m)}:=\sup_{\lambda >0}\lambda ^p\mu \left(f>\lambda \right) <+\infty .$$

The $\sigma -$algebra generated by the intervals $\{I_n\left(x\right) :x\in G_m\}$ will be denoted by F_n $\left(n\in \mathbb{N}\right)$. Denote by $f=\left(f^{(n)},n\in \mathbb{N}\right)$ a martingale with respect to F_n $\left(n\in \mathbb{N}\right)$ (for details see e.g. [17]). The maximal function of a martingale f is defend by

$$f^*=\sup_{n\in \mathbb{N}}\left| f^{(n)}\right| .$$

In case $f\in L_1$, the maximal functions are also be given by

$$f^*\left(x\right) =\sup_{n\in \mathbb{N}}\frac{1}{\left| I_n\left(x\right) \right| }\left| \int_{I_n(x)}f\left(u\right) \mu \left(u\right) \right| .$$

For $0<p<\infty$ the Hardy martingale spaces $H_p\left(G_m\right)$ consist of all martingales for which

$$\left\| f\right\| _{H_p}:=\left\| f^*\right\| _p<\infty .$$

If $f\in L_1$, then it is easy to show that the sequence $\left(S_{M_n}\left(f\right) :n\in \mathbb{N}\right)$ is a martingale. If $f=\left(f^{(n)},n\in \mathbb{N}\right)$ is a martingale, then the Vilenkin-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a slightly different manner:

$$\widehat{f}\left(i\right) :=\lim_{k\rightarrow \infty }\int_{G_m}f^{(k)}\left(x\right) \overline{\Psi }_i\left(x\right) d\mu \left(x\right) .$$

The Vilenkin-Fourier coefficients of $f\in L_1\left(G_m\right)$ are the same as those of the martingale $\left(S_{M_n}\left(f\right) :n\in \mathbb{N}\right)$ obtained from f .

For the martingale f we consider maximal operators

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma ^*f &:= \sup_{n\in \mathbb{N}}\left| \sigma _nf\right| ,\\ \widetilde{\sigma }^*f &:= \sup_{n\in \mathbb{N}}\frac{\left| \sigma _nf\right| }{\log ^2\left(n+1\right) },\\ \widetilde{\sigma }_p^*f &:= \sup_{n\in \mathbb{N}}\frac{\left| \sigma _nf\right| }{\left(n+1\right) ^{1/p-2}}.\end{aligned}$$

A bounded measurable function a is p-atom, if there exist interval I, such that

$$\begin{aligned}a)\ \int_I ad\mu &= 0,\\ b)\ \left\| a\right\| _\infty &\leq \mu \left(I\right) ^{-1/p},\\ c)\ \mathrm{supp}\left(a\right) &\subset I.\end{aligned}$$

3. Formulation of Main Results

Theorem 1. *Let $0 < p < 1/2$. Then the maximal operator $\widetilde{\sigma}_p^*$ is bounded from the Hardy martingale space $H_p(G_m)$ to the space $L_p(G_m)$.*

Theorem 2. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to [1, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function, satisfying the condition*

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \frac{(n+1)^{1/p-2}}{\varphi(n)} = +\infty, \tag{9}$$

then

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left\| \frac{\sigma_n f}{\varphi(n)} \right\|_{L_{p,\infty}} = \infty.$$

4. AUXILIARY PROPOSITIONS

Lemma 1. [19] *Suppose that an operator T is sublinear and for some $0 < p \leq 1$*

$$\int_{\overline{I}} |Ta|^p \, d\mu \leq c_p < \infty,$$

for every p-atom a, where I denote the support of the atom. If T is bounded from L_∞ to L_∞, then

$$\|Tf\|_{L_p(G_m)} \leq c_p \|f\|_{H_p(G_m)}.$$

Lemma 2. [2, 8] *Let $2 < A \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $k \leq s < A$ and $q_A = M_{2A} + M_{2A-2} + ... + M_2 + M_0$. Then*

$$q_{A-1} \left| K_{q_{A-1}}(x) \right| \geq \frac{M_{2k} M_{2s}}{4},$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in I_{2A}(0, ..., x_{2k} \neq 0, 0, ..., 0, x_{2s} \neq 0, x_{2s+1}, ...x_{2A-1}), \\ k &= 0,\ 1, ...,\ A-3. \qquad s = k+2,\ k+3, ...,\ A-1. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. [5] *Let $A > t$, $t, A \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in I_t \setminus I_{t+1}$. Then*

$$K_{M_A}(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } z - z_t e_t \notin I_A, \\ \frac{M_t}{1 - r_t(z)}, & \text{if } z - z_t e_t \in I_A. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4. *Let $x \in I_N^{k,l}$, $\quad k = 0, ..., N-1$, $l = k+1, ..., N$. Then*

$$\int_{I_N} |K_n(x-t)|\, d\mu(t) \leq \frac{cM_lM_k}{M_N^2}, \quad \text{when } n \geq M_N.$$

Proof. Let $x \in I_N^{k,\alpha,l,\beta}$. Then applying Lemma 3 we have

$$K_{M_A}(x) = 0, \text{ when } A > l.$$

Let $k < A \leq l$. Then we get

$$|K_{M_A}(x)| = \frac{M_k}{|1 - r_k(x)|} \leq \frac{m_k M_k}{2\pi\ \alpha}. \tag{10}$$

Let $x \in I_N^{k,l}$, for $0 \leq k < l \leq N-1$ and $t \in I_N$. Since $x - t \in I_N^{k,l}$ and $n \geq M_N$, combining (3), (5), (8) and (10) we obtain

$$n\,|K_n(x)| \leq c\sum_{A=0}^{l} M_A M_k \leq cM_kM_l$$

and

$$\int_{I_N} |K_n(x-t)|\, d\mu(t) \leq \frac{cM_kM_l}{M_N^2}. \tag{11}$$

Let $x \in I_N^{k,N}$, then applying (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{I_N} n\,|K_n(x-t)|\, d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \sum_{A=0}^{|n|} M_A \int_{I_N} |K_{M_A}(x-t)|\, d\mu(t). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} x = \left(0,...,0,x_k \neq 0,0,...0,x_N,x_{N+1},x_q,...,x_{|n|-1},...\right), \\ t = \left(0,...,0,x_N,...x_{q-1},t_q \neq x_q,t_{q+1},...,t_{|n|-1},...\right),\ q = N,...,|n|-1. \end{cases}$$

Using Lemma 3 in (12) it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{I_N} |K_n(x-t)|\, d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n}\sum_{A=0}^{q-1} M_A \int_{I_N} M_k d\mu(t) \leq \frac{cM_kM_q}{nM_N} \leq \frac{cM_k}{M_N}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} x = \left(0,...,0,x_m \neq 0,0,...,0,x_N,x_{N+1},x_q,...,x_{|n|-1},...\right), \\ t = \left(0,0,...,x_N,...,x_{|n|-1},...\right). \end{cases}$$

If we apply Lemma 3 in (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(14)\qquad &\int_{I_N}\left|K_n\left(x-t\right)\right|d\mu\left(t\right)\\ &\leq \frac{c}{n}\sum_{A=0}^{|n|-1}M_A\int_{I_N}M_k d\mu\left(t\right)\leq\frac{cM_k}{M_N}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining (11), (13) and (14) we complete the proof of Lemma 4.

5. Proofs of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 1, the proof of Theorem 1 will be complete, if we show that

$$\int_{\overline{I}_N}\left(\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{|\sigma_n a|}{(n+1)^{1/p-2}}\right)^p d\mu\leq c<\infty,$$

for every p-atom a, where I denotes the support of the atom. The boundedness of $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left|\sigma_n f\right|/\left(n+1\right)^{1/p-2}$ from L_∞ to L_∞ follows from (7).

Let a be an arbitrary p-atom, with support I and $\mu\left(I\right)=M_N^{-1}$. We may assume that $I=I_N$. It is easy to see that $\sigma_n\left(a\right)=0$, when $n\leq M_N$. Therefore, we can suppose that $n>M_N$.

Since $\left\|a\right\|_\infty\leq cM_N^{1/p}$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\left|\sigma_n\left(a\right)\right|}{\left(n+1\right)^{1/p-2}}\\ \leq\ &\frac{1}{\left(n+1\right)^{1/p-2}}\int_{I_N}\left|a\left(t\right)\right|\left|K_n\left(x-t\right)\right|d\mu\left(t\right)\\ \leq\ &\frac{\left\|a\right\|_\infty}{\left(n+1\right)^{1/p-2}}\int_{I_N}\left|K_n\left(x-t\right)\right|d\mu\left(t\right)\\ \leq\ &\frac{cM_N^{1/p}}{\left(n+1\right)^{1/p-2}}\int_{I_N}\left|K_n\left(x-t\right)\right|d\mu\left(t\right).\end{aligned}$$

Let $x\in I_N^{k,l}$, $0\leq k<l\leq N$. From Lemma 4 we get

$$(15)\qquad \frac{\left|\sigma_n\left(a\right)\right|}{\left(n+1\right)^{1/p-2}}\leq\frac{cM_N^{1/p}}{M_N^{1/p-2}}\frac{M_lM_k}{M_N^2}=cM_lM_k.$$

Combining (4) and (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\overline{I_N}} |\sigma^* a(x)|^p \, d\mu(x) \\
= &\sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1}\sum_{x_j=0, j\in\{l+1,\dots,N-1\}}^{m_{j-1}} \int_{I_N^{k,l}} |\sigma^* a(x)|^p \, d\mu(x) \\
&+\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_N^{k,N}} |\sigma^* a(x)|^p \, d\mu(x) \\
\leq &\; c\sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1} \frac{m_{l+1}\dots m_{N-1}}{M_N} (M_l M_k)^p \\
&+c\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{M_N} (M_N M_k)^p \\
\leq &\; c\sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1} \frac{(M_l M_k)^p}{M_l} + c\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{M_k^p}{M_N^{1-p}} = I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= c\sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{M_l^{1-2p}} \frac{(M_l M_k)^p}{M_l^{2p}} \\
&\leq c\sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{M_l^{1-2p}} \\
&\leq c\sum_{k=0}^{N-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2^{l(1-2p)}} \\
&\leq c\sum_{k=0}^{N-2} \frac{1}{2^{k(1-2p)}} < c < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

It is evident

$$II \leq \frac{c}{M_N^{1-2p}} < c < \infty.$$

Which complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $0 < p < 1/2$ and $\{\lambda_k;\ k \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$ be an increasing sequence of the positive integers, such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_k^{1/p-2}}{\varphi(\lambda_k)} = \infty.$$

It is evident that for every λ_k, there exists a positive integers $m_k^{,}$, such that $q_{m_k^{'}}<\lambda_k<cq_{m_k^{,}}$. Since $\varphi(n)$ is nondecreasing function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(16)\qquad &\overline{\lim_{k\to\infty}}\frac{M_{2m_k^{,}}^{1/p-2}}{\varphi\left(q_{m_k^{,}}\right)}\\ &\geq c\overline{\lim_{k\to\infty}}\frac{q_{m_k^{,}}^{1/p-2}}{\varphi\left(q_{m_k^{,}}\right)}\\ &\geq c\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\lambda_k^{1/p-2}}{\varphi(\lambda_k)}=\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\{n_k;\ k\in\mathbb{N}_+\}\subset\left\{m_k^{,};\ k\in\mathbb{N}_+\right\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{M_{2n_k}^{1/p-2}}{\varphi(q_{n_k})}=\infty$$

and

$$f_{n_k}(x)=D_{M_{2n_k+1}}(x)-D_{M_{2n_k}}(x),\qquad n_k\geq 3.$$

It is evident

$$\widehat{f}_{n_k}(i)=\begin{cases}1,\ \text{if } i=M_{2n_k},...,M_{2n_k+1}-1,\\ 0,\text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Then we can write

$$(17)\qquad S_if_{n_k}(x)=\begin{cases}D_i(x)-D_{M_{2n_k}}(x),\ \text{if } i=M_{2n_k},...,M_{2n_k+1}-1,\\ f_{n_k}(x),\ \text{if } i\geq M_{2n_k+1},\\ 0,\qquad \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

From (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}(18)\qquad &\|f_{n_k}\|_{H_p}\\ =\ &\left\|\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}S_{M_n}(f_{n_k})\right\|_{L_p}\\ =\ &\left\|D_{M_{2n_k+1}}-D_{M_{2n_k}}\right\|_{L_p}\\ =\ &\left(\int_{I_{2n_k}\setminus I_{2n_k+1}}M_{2n_k}^p\,d\mu(x)+\int_{I_{2n_k+1}}\left(M_{2n_k+1}-M_{2n_k}\right)^p d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p}\\ =\ &\left(\frac{m_{2n_k}-1}{M_{2n_k+1}}M_{2n_k}^p+\frac{\left(m_{2n_k}-1\right)^p}{M_{2n_k+1}}M_{2n_k}^p\right)^{1/p}\\ \leq\ &M_{2n_k}^{1-1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

By (17) we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\left|\sigma_{q_{n_k}} f_{n_k}(x)\right|}{\varphi(q_{n_k})} \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{n_k})\, q_{n_k}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q_{n_k}-1} S_j f_{n_k}(x) \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{n_k})\, q_{n_k}} \left| \sum_{j=M_{2n_k}}^{q_{n_k}-1} S_j f_{n_k}(x) \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{n_k})\, q_{n_k}} \left| \sum_{j=M_{2n_k}}^{q_{n_k}-1} \left(D_j(x) - D_{M_{2n_k}}(x) \right) \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{n_k})\, q_{n_k}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q_{n_k-1}-1} \left(D_{j+M_{2n_k}}(x) - D_{M_{2n_k}}(x) \right) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$D_{j+M_{2n_k}}(x) - D_{M_{2n_k}}(x) = \psi_{M_{2n_k}} D_j, \qquad j = 1, 2, .., M_{2n_k} - 1,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\left|\sigma_{q_{n_k}} f_{n_k}(x)\right|}{\varphi(q_{n_k})} \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{n_k})\, q_{n_k}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q_{n_k-1}-1} D_j(x) \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{n_k})} \frac{q_{n_k-1}}{q_{n_k}} \left| K_{q_{n_k-1}}(x) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in I_{2n_k}^{2s,2l}$. Using Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\frac{\left|\sigma_{q_{n_k}} f_{n_k}(x)\right|}{\varphi(q_{n_k})} \geq \frac{cM_{2s}M_{2l}}{M_{2n_k}\varphi(q_{n_k})}.$$

Hence we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(19) \qquad & \mu\left\{x\in G_m:\frac{\left|\sigma_{q_{n_k}}f_{n_k}(x)\right|}{\varphi\left(q_{n_k}\right)}\geq\frac{c}{M_{2n_k}\varphi\left(q_{n_k}\right)}\right\}\\
\geq\ & \mu\left\{x\in I^{2,4}_{2n_k}:\frac{\left|\sigma_{q_{n_k}}f_{n_k}(x)\right|}{\varphi\left(q_{n_k}\right)}\geq\frac{c}{M_{2n_k}\varphi\left(q_{n_k}\right)}\right\}\\
\geq\ & \mu\left(I^{2,4}_{2n_k}\right)>c>0.
\end{aligned}
$$

From (18) and (19) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\frac{c}{M_{2n_k}\varphi\left(q_{n_k}\right)}\left(\mu\left\{x\in G_m:\frac{\left|\sigma_{q_{n_k}}f_{n_k}(x)\right|}{\varphi\left(q_{n_k}\right)}\geq\frac{c}{M_{2n_k}\varphi\left(q_{n_k}\right)}\right\}\right)^{1/p}}{\|f_{n_k}(x)\|_{H_p}}\\
\geq\ & \frac{c}{M_{2n_k}\varphi\left(q_{n_k}\right)M_{2n_k}^{1-1/p}}\\
=\ & c\frac{M_{2n_k}^{1/p-2}}{\varphi\left(q_{n_k}\right)}\to\infty, \qquad \text{when } k\to\infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2 is proved.

References

[1] G. N. AGAEV, N. Ya. VILENKIN, G. M. DZHAFARLY and A. I. RUBINSHTEIN, Multiplicative systems of functions and harmonic analysis on zero-dimensional groups, Baku, Ehim, 1981 (in Russian).

[2] I. BLAHOTA, G. GÁT and U. GOGINAVA, Maximal operators of Fejer means of double Vilenkin-Fourier series, Colloq. Math. 107 (2007), no. 2, 287–296.

[3] I. BLAHOTA, G. GÁT and U. GOGINAVA, Maximal operators of Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series. JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 7 (2006), 1- 7 .

[4] N. J. FUJII, A maximal inequality for H^1 functions on the generalized Walsh-Paley group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), lll-116.

[5] G. GÁT, Cesàro means of integrable functions with respect to unbounded Vilenkin systems. J. Approx. Theory 124 (2003), no. 1, 25–43

[6] U. GOGINAVA, Maximal operators of Fejér-Walsh means. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 74 (2008), no. 3-4, 615–624.

[7] U. GOGINAVA, The maximal operator of the Fejér means of the character system of the p-series field in the Kaczmarz rearrangement. Publ. Math. Debrecen 71 (2007), no. 1-2, 43–55.

[8] U. GOGINAVA, Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Walsh-Fourier series. Acta Math. Hungar. 115 (2007), no. 4, 333–340

[9] U. GOGINAVA and K. NAGY , On the maximal operator of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fejer means, Czechoslovak Math. J. 62, 3 (2011), 673-686.

[10] J. PÁL and P. SIMON, On a generalization of the comncept of derivate, Acta Math. Hung., 29 (1977), 155-164.

[11] F. SCHIPP, Certain rearranngements of series in the Walsh series, Mat. Zametki, 18 (1975), 193-201.
[12] P. SIMON, Cesaro summability wish respect to two-parameter Walsh sistems, Monatsh. Math .,131 (2000),321-334.
[13] P. SIMON, Inverstigations wish respect to the Vilenkin sistem, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest Eotv., Sect. Math., 28 (1985) 87-101.
[14] G. TEPHNADZE, Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, (to appear).
[15] G. TEPHNADZE, On the maximal operator of Vilenkin-Fejér means, Turk. J. Math, (to appear).
[16] N. Ya. VILENKIN, A class of complate ortonormal systems, Izv. Akad. Nauk. U.S.S.R., Ser. Mat., 11 (1947), 363-400
[17] F. WEISZ, Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier Analysis, Springer, Berlin-Heideiberg-New York, 1994.
[18] F. WEISZ, Cesáro summability of one and two-dimensional Fourier series, Anal. Math. 5 (1996), 353-367.
[19] F. WEISZ, Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.
[20] F. WEISZ, Weak type inequalities for the Walsh and bounded Ciesielski systems. Anal. Math. 30 (2004), no. 2, 147–160.
[21] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric Series, Vol. 1, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1959.

G. Tephnadze, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia
E-mail address: giorgitephnadze@gmail.com